

09/890 927

Copied
PATENT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patentee: Smith, et al.

Patent No.: 6,967,196 B1

Issued: November 22, 2005

Docket: 1188-67 PCT/US

For: SULFONAMIDE COMPOUNDS AND
USES THEREOF

Dated: January 11, 2006

I hereby certify this correspondence is being deposited
with the United States Postal Service as first class mail,
postpaid in an envelope, addressed to: Commissioner for
Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

01/18/2006 MGE BREM2 00000006 6967196

01 FC:1811

100.00 OP

Date: January 11, 2006

Signature: K.J. Goodhand/

K.J. Goodhand

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Decision and Certificate of Correction
Branch of the Patent Issue Division

Certificate
JAN 20 2006
of Correction

REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION OF PATENT
FOR PTO MISTAKE UNDER 37 CFR §1.322(a) and
APPLICANT'S MISTAKE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.323

Sir:

Patentee respectfully requests correction of the mistakes in the above-referenced U.S.
Patent No. 6,967,196 B1. In particular:

At column 6, lines 13-14, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...substituted or
substituted...". At page 7, line 18 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads
--...substituted or unsubstituted...--.

At column 10, line 65, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...to 100 ing/kg/day; with...".
At page 13, line 10 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --...100

01/18/2006 MGE BREM2 00000006 6967196

01 FC:1811

100.00 OP

FEB 3 2006

At column 16, line 4, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...for 1 b at 0° C. followed...". At page 18, line 15 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --...for 1 h at 0° C. followed...--.

At column 38, line 27, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...0° C for 1 h w and subsequently...". At page 41, line 9 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --...0° C for 1 h and subsequently...--.

At column 66, line 29, the printed patent incorrectly reads "Silica get chromatography ...". At page 70, line 16 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --Silica gel chromatography...--.

At column 126, line 24, the printed patent incorrectly reads "The filtrate was concentrated...". At page 133, line 6 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --The filtrate was concentrated...--.

At column 261, line 18, the printed patent incorrectly reads "The Scheme process begins...". At page 253, line 1 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --The Scheme 514A process beings...--.

At column 261 line 20, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...an Re-substituted...". At page 253, line 2 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --...an R³-substituted...--.

At column 273, line 35, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...was allowed to warn to...". At page 265, line 22 of the original specification as filed, however, the text reads --...was allowed to warm to...--.

These mistakes are a result of errors on the part of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office. Appropriate correction is therefore respectfully requested.

Patentee further requests that at column 6, line 65 the printed patent incorrectly reads "...another embodiment of invention, J...". The patent should read --...another embodiment of the invention, J...--.

At column 156, line 18, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...was allowed stir at room temperature...". The patent should read --...was allowed to stir at room temperature....--.

At column 174, line 33, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...under reduce pressure...". The patent should read --...under reduced pressure....--.

At column 174, line 53, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...organic layer were washed...". The patent should read --...organic layers were washed....--.

At column 183, line 60, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...to afforded the desired product...". The printed patent should read --...to afford the desired product....--.

At column 184, line 23, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...mixture was allowed stir at 0° C for 1 h...". The printed patent should read --...mixture was allowed to stir at 0° C for 1 h....--.

At column 199, line 65, the printed patent incorrectly reads "...product tat purified by ...". The printed patent should read --...product that was purified by....--.

These mistakes are typographical errors on the part of the Applicant and are believed to be of minor character. Appropriate correction is therefore respectfully requested.


Attached herewith in duplicate please find a fully completed Form PTO-SB-44 with at least one copy being suitable for printing. In addition, a check in the amount of \$100.00, as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.20(a) is enclosed. If additional fees are required, please charge Deposit Account No. 08-2461.

Please forward the Certificate of Correction to:

Daniel A. Scola, Jr., Esq.
Hoffmann & Baron, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, NY 11791

Any questions concerning this communication should be directed to the undersigned,
who can be reached by telephone at (973) 331-1700.

Respectfully submitted,


Christina L. Warrick
Registration No. 45,690
Attorney for Applicant(s)

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, New York 11791-4407
(973) 331-1700

FEB 3 2006

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO : 6,967,196 B1

DATED : November 22, 2005

INVENTOR(S) : Smith, et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

At column 6, lines 13-14, the printed patent should read "...substituted or unsubstituted..."

At column 6, line 65, the printed patent should read "...another embodiment of the invention, J..."

At column 10, line 65, the printed patent should read "...to 100 mg/kg/day; with..."

At column 16, line 4, the printed patent should read "...for 1 h at 0° C. followed..."

At column 38, line 27, the printed patent should read "...0° C. for 1 h and subsequently..."

At column 66, line 29, the printed patent should read "Silica gel chromatography..."

At column 126, line 24, the printed patent should read "The filtrate was concentrated..."

At column 156, line 18, the printed patent should read "...was allowed to stir at room temperature..."

At column 174, line 33, the printed patent should read "...under reduced pressure..."

At column 174, line 53, the printed patent should read "...organic layers were washed..."

At column 183, line 60, the printed patent should read "...to afford the desired product..."

At column 184, line 23, the printed patent should read "...mixture was allowed to stir at..."

At column 199, line 65, the printed patent should read "...product that was purified by..."

At column 261, line 18, the printed patent should read "The Scheme 514A process begins..."

At column 261, line 20, the printed patent should read "...an R³-substituted..."

At column 273, line 35, the printed patent should read "...was allowed to warm to..."

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER: Daniel A. Scola, Jr.
Hoffmann & Baron, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, NY 11791

PATENT NO. 6,967,196 B1

No. of additional copies

→ 1

FEB 3 2006

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO : 6,967,196 B1

DATED : November 22, 2005

INVENTOR(S) : Smith, et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

At column 6, lines 13-14, the printed patent should read "...substituted or unsubstituted...".

At column 6, line 65, the printed patent should read "...another embodiment of the invention, J...".

At column 10, line 65, the printed patent should read "...to 100 mg/kg/day; with...".

At column 16, line 4, the printed patent should read "...for 1 h at 0° C. followed...".

At column 38, line 27, the printed patent should read "...0° C. for 1 h and subsequently...".

At column 66, line 29, the printed patent should read "Silica gel chromatography...".

At column 126, line 24, the printed patent should read "The filtrate was concentrated...".

At column 156, line 18, the printed patent should read "...was allowed to stir at room temperature...".

At column 174, line 33, the printed patent should read "...under reduced pressure...".

At column 174, line 53, the printed patent should read "...organic layers were washed...".

At column 183, line 60, the printed patent should read "...to afford the desired product...".

At column 184, line 23, the printed patent should read "...mixture was allowed to stir at...".

At column 199, line 65, the printed patent should read "...product that was purified by...".

At column 261, line 18, the printed patent should read "The Scheme 514A process begins...".

At column 261, line 20, the printed patent should read "...an R³-substituted...".

At column 273, line 35, the printed patent should read "...was allowed to warm to...".

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER: Daniel A. Scola, Jr.
Hoffmann & Baron, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, NY 11791

PATENT NO. 6,967,196 B1

No. of additional copies

→ 1

FEB 3 2006